



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/764,179	01/17/2001	Ulrich Arndt	H01.2-9610		
470	90 09/25/2002				
VIDAS, ARR	ETT & STEINKRAUS	EXAMINER			
6109 BLUE CII	RCLE DRIVE	LUK, EMMANUEL S			
SUITE 2000					
MINNETONK	A, MN 55343-9185	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1722		
			DATE MAILED: 09/25/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4,					MK-3			
		Application No		Applicant(s)				
•		09/764,179		ARNDT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Emmanuel S. L		1722	Idrana			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17.							
2a) <u></u> ☐	•	nis action is non-						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne arawing(s) be f	ieid in abeyance. S wed h)□ disappr	oved by the Evam	<i>).</i> iner			
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on			OVOG Dy the Exam				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmen								
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) <u>2</u> . 6)	Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper l al Patent Application (l	No(s) PTO-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/764,179

Art Unit: 1722

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - In claim 1, line 2, the term "a guide bore" is indefinite since it is not clear
 what element the bore is part of, whether the bore is in reference to the
 compression press or the die holder or the die.
 - In claim 1, line 4, the term "projection is helically guided in the bore" is indefinite since it does not positively provide an element structure that would enable the projection to be 'helically guided'.
- 3. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the

Application/Control Number: 09/764,179 Page 3

Art Unit: 1722

remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 7 recites the broad recitation "about 10 to 30°", and the claim also recites "preferably" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

- 4. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the end-side bore" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 5. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the associated front=end face" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 6. Claim 2 recites the limitation "the free end" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 7. Claim 6 recites the limitation "the axial motion" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/764,179 Page 4

Art Unit: 1722

9. Claims 2-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest a die for a rotary compression press having a die holder and a die insert that is seated in the end-side bore of the die holder, the die insert is seated via a trunnion-shaped projection and mounted via releasable fastening means, the projection rotates in the bore between the axially spaced stops and is biased by a spring towards the front-end face of the die holder. The closest prior arts, Smith, Derflinger and Korsch, fail to teach or suggest the fastening means between the die holder and die insert wherein a trunnion-shaped projection seats the die insert and the projection allows for rotation in the bore between the stops and a spring biases . 's the front-end face of the die holder.

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hinzpeter, Barna, Arndt, Bolles and Konig.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel S. Luk whose telephone number is (703)

Application/Control Number: 09/764,179

Art Unit: 1722

305-1558. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursdays from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM and alternate Fridays from 6:30 AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jan H. Silbaugh can be reached on (703) 308-3829. The Rightfax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

E. L. September 17, 2002

JAN H. SILBAUGH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER ART UNIT ## 1722

1 4 1 1 2 Jol